From: <u>Casey, Carolyn</u>
To: <u>Zucker, Audrey</u>

Subject: RE: USM/Cummings Beverly

Date: Wednesday, August 24, 2016 10:08:00 AM

Sorry to bother you, I thought you wanted to talk about the USTs. Will call if we hear from the state

From: Zucker, Audrey

Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2016 10:04 AM

To: Wainberg, Daniel <Wainberg.Daniel@epa.gov>; Casey, Carolyn <Casey.Carolyn@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: USM/Cummings Beverly

Ok – pls. call me on my cell (b) (6) . I'm in a conference room – but can be interrupted.

From: Wainberg, Daniel

Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2016 9:33 AM

To: Zucker, Audrey < <u>Zucker.Audrey@epa.gov</u>>; Casey, Carolyn < <u>Casey.Carolyn@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: FW: USM/Cummings Beverly

Hello Carolyn and Audrey,

Audrey we tried Jack right when you left yesterday, but no answer so Carolyn sent email below this morning. Carolyn, please try to include Audrey on the call as well if the timing works out.

Dan Wainberg

RCRA Corrective Action Section

EPA - New England Office: 617.918.1283 Mobile: 617.413.4622 Fax: 617.918.0283

Wainberg.Daniel@epa.gov

From: Casey, Carolyn

Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2016 9:10 AM

To: Miano, John (DEP) < <u>john.miano@state.ma.us</u>> **Cc:** Wainberg, Daniel < <u>Wainberg.Daniel@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: USM/Cummings Beverly

Are you available to talk with Dan and I today? We are available before 2:00 today. Should not take long. $\frac{1}{2}$ at the most.

We met with the reps from Cummings properties about the former USM property in Beverly yesterday about the order. One more of the schools/day care facilities is either vacated or plans to in Oct. They were not sure. This is suite 157J, Futures Behavior, where we have the most data and concern at this point.

They asked if reverting back to original AUL with no daycare/schools would be acceptable. Just one option they want to consider. We wanted DEP's opinion before we provide any feedback.

Not sure how this will work with the new condo development they have nearly built on the southwest corner of the property. I will look at the 2008 AUL amendment to see what eval they did for 950. Was just a lot (paved or soil?) at the time so any soil gas sampling may not be relevant.

Do you have any new information about the construction of the condos, including any VI eval or vapor barrier being installed? They did not have anything to offer wrt info about this new construction except they are about ½ sold. I will also check DEP online shortly. They did mention a 2016 AUL amendment but it does not likely include and data. They said it was for changing the footprint of the building only since they originally planned for a hotel/conf space. It should also include info about all the previous amendment per DEP's new requirements.

Thanks Carolyn

Carolyn J. Casey
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Mail code OSRR 07-3
Boston, MA 02109-3912
P 617-918-1368
F 617-918-0368
casey.carolyn@epa.gov